All submissions that meet formal criteria will be evaluated by the GGP Consortium Board members based on the three criteria listed below. For each criterion, we provide a list of questions that the Applicants should reflect on when preparing their proposal.

1. Relevance and applicability to GGS
   - Will the subject fit well alongside the core GGS questions?
   - Is the topic already covered adequately by the core GGS questionnaire?
   - To what extent is the proposed module a natural one for the GGS?
   - Might it be better-suited to a different survey such as the DHS, ESS or SHARE?
   - Is it appropriate for population-wide samples?
   - Is it suited to a survey covering 15+ countries as diverse as the GGS countries?
   - Is the proposal suited to the second wave of the longitudinal nature of the GGS rather than an ad hoc survey or a repeated cross-sectional design?
   - Does the GGS have a strong comparative advantage over other possible vehicles?

2. Readiness and appropriateness of design
   - Is the idea well-formulated and well-defined?
   - Is the content of the module sufficiently developed and conceptually coherent?
   - Have the questions been fielded in a previous survey or cognitively tested?
   - Is it suited to the CAWI design?
   - Is it feasible under current GDPR arrangements and ethics requirements?
   - Does it call for more open-ended questions or filters than the GGS could comfortably accommodate?

3. Scientific excellence and novelty of the questions
   - Will the inclusion of the module add something new – substantively or methodologically?
   - Is it more than a replication of previous work?
   - Is the module likely to attract broad academic or policy interest in Europe or globally?
   - Will it add an important new dimension to the GGS knowledge base?