



Generations and Gender Programme Preparatory Phase Project (GGP-5D)

Call for Modules and Related Procedures

Work package 2: **Impact and Engagement**

Grant Agreement Number: 101079357

Project acronym: **GGP-5D**

Project full title: **The Generations and Gender Programme
Preparatory Phase Project**

Due delivery date: 31st July 2023

Actual delivery date: 27th July 2023

Organization name of lead participant for this deliverable: **WARSAW
SCHOOL OF ECONOMICS (SGH)**

Dissemination level: Public



**Funded by
the European Union**

Document Control Sheet

| | |
|--------------------------|--------------------------|
| Deliverable number: | 2.1 |
| Deliverable responsible: | Monika Mynarska |
| Work package: | 2 Impact and Engagement |
| Editor(s): | Vytenis Juozas Deimantas |

| Author (s) | | |
|-----------------|----------------------------------|--|
| <i>Name</i> | <i>Organization</i> | <i>E-mail</i> |
| Monika Mynarska | Warsaw School of Economics (SGH) | mmynar@sgh.waw.pl |
| | | |
| | | |

| Document Revision History | | | |
|---------------------------|-------------|---------------------------------|--------------------|
| <i>Version</i> | <i>Date</i> | <i>Modifications Introduced</i> | |
| | | <i>Modification reason</i> | <i>Modified by</i> |
| V1 | 27.07.2023 | Deliverable D2.1 | |
| V2 | | | |
| V3 | | | |

Executive summary

The engagement of scientific stakeholders in the Generations and Gender Programme (GGP) is vital for its long-term sustainability. They are not only users of the GGP data, but they also play an important role in identifying the emerging scientific challenges and the resulting data needs. In order to increase their engagement, the GGP intends to introduce regular calls for the inclusion of new questions (modules) in the Generations and Gender Survey (GGS) that is central for the GGP.

The first call was launched in March 2023 and generated a substantial interest, resulting in 28 submissions from researchers across Europe. To ensure a rigorous selection process, the GGP Consortium Board undertook a thorough evaluation of the proposals. Each submission was assessed based on predefined criteria, including (1) relevance and applicability to GGS, (2) readiness and appropriateness of design, and (3) scientific excellence and novelty of the questions. Following the evaluation, the top five proposals that demonstrated exceptional quality and value were selected for inclusion in the upcoming survey. The sixth proposal will be recommended as optional.

This report documents the comprehensive procedure employed during the call for survey questions. It outlines the main assumptions of the call, evaluation criteria, and the scoring process utilized by the GGP Consortium Board. Furthermore, the report offers reflective insights on areas for improvement and suggestions for future iterations of the call.

Table of Contents

| | |
|--|-----------|
| <i>1. Setting the scene for the first call for survey modules or questions</i> | <i>6</i> |
| <i>1.1. Why the call?</i> | <i>6</i> |
| <i>1.2. Initial assumptions for the call</i> | <i>7</i> |
| <i>2. The first GGP call for survey questions</i> | <i>8</i> |
| <i>2.1. The procedure and evaluation criteria</i> | <i>8</i> |
| Detailed assumptions of the call..... | 9 |
| Selection procedure..... | 10 |
| Evaluation criteria..... | 10 |
| <i>2.2. The call and the response</i> | <i>12</i> |
| <i>2.3. The process of evaluation and selection</i> | <i>14</i> |
| <i>2.4. Implementation of the selected modules</i> | <i>15</i> |
| <i>2.5. Timeline</i> | <i>16</i> |
| <i>3. Conclusions</i> | <i>18</i> |
| <i>Attachment 1: Call announcement on the GGP webpage</i> | <i>20</i> |
| <i>Attachment 2: Information about the call</i> | <i>22</i> |
| <i>Attachment 3: Application form</i> | <i>23</i> |
| <i>Attachment 4: Supplementary check list</i> | <i>25</i> |
| <i>Attachment 5: Submitted proposals</i> | <i>27</i> |
| <i>Attachment 6: Evaluation instructions</i> | <i>29</i> |
| <i>Attachment 7: Reviewer's declaration</i> | <i>31</i> |
| <i>Attachment 8: Results of the call</i> | <i>33</i> |

Definitions and acronyms

EAPS – European Association for Population Studies

ESS – European Social Survey ERIC

FReDA – German Family Demography Panel Study

GGP – Generations and Gender Programme

GGP CB – Generations and Gender Programme Consortium Board

GGP Central Hub – the central coordination team, GGP team working at NIDI, responsible for daily operations of GGP

GGP Consortium Board – the ultimate decision-making body of the GGP which meets at least once a year.

GGP Steering Committee – advises the Director, the Central Coordinator and the Central Coordination Team on emerging issues.

GGP Questionnaire Task Force – the GGP working group appointed by the GGP Steering Committee to develop the follow-up questionnaire that will be implemented in the second wave of the on-going round of GGS

GGS – Generations and Gender Survey

NIDI – Netherlands Interdisciplinary Demographic Institute

RI / RIs – Research Infrastructure(s)

Selection Committee – the committee appointed by the GGP Steering Committee to organize and conduct the first call for survey questions

1. Setting the scene for the first call for survey modules or questions

1.1. Why the call?

In an ever-evolving landscape of societal dynamics and policy priorities, the Generations and Gender Programme (GGP) recognizes the critical importance of being responsive to new emerging research topics and policy needs. This responsiveness is pivotal for the program's credibility and impact, building its potential to deliver data necessary for evidence-based decision-making and effective societal interventions. And this responsiveness cannot be achieved without the engagement of scientific stakeholders. They are not only users of the GGP data, but they can help to identify the emerging scientific challenges and the resulting data needs.

In order to increase the engagement of scientific stakeholders, so important for long-term sustainability of the GGP, it is planned to introduce regular calls for new survey questions (modules) to be incorporated into the Generations and Gender Survey (GGS). Similar calls have been successfully carried out by other research infrastructures (RIs) in social sciences. The best example is the regular call for rotating modules, conducted by the European Social Survey (ESS-ERIC)¹. Also FReDA, the German Family Demography Panel Study—a close partner of the GGP—has introduced a call for open modules that will be repeated regularly².

¹ <https://www.europeansocialsurvey.org/docs/round12/ESS-Round-12-Module-Call-Specification-FINAL.pdf>

² <https://www.freda-panel.de/FReDA/EN/Data/Open-Modules/Open-Modules.html>

1.2. Initial assumptions for the call

In the GGP-5D project, it is the task in the Work Package 2 to organize and conduct the first GGP call for survey questions. In the GGP-5D kick-off meeting, the main assumptions of the call were outlined and discussed. These assumptions were derived from previous discussions in the GGP consortium, recommendations of the GGP Questionnaire Task Force as well as from the experiences of other RIs. It was outlined that the call should be looking for:

- short, self-contained modules or survey items that would be easy to incorporate into the GGS (e.g., at the end of the survey, not requiring any structural changes in the questionnaire);
- modules or questions that are innovative and fitting well with the overall longitudinal (panel) design of the GGS and universal for all the GGP countries for wave 2.

Furthermore, in the course of discussion it was agreed that:

- new content should take maximum 7-8 minutes of the survey time;
- in the process of selecting the user-suggested modules or questions; the GGP Questionnaire Task Force should be consulted but it should not be entirely responsible for the call.

Consequently, the GGP Steering Committee appointed the Selection Committee consisting of the chair and three members, including one representative of the GGP Questionnaire Task Force. The Selection Committee members included Brienna Perelli-Harris (the Chair of the Selection Committee, University of Southampton, the UK), Letizia Mencarini (Bocconi University, Italy), Martin Kreidl (Masaryk University, Czechia), Monika Mynarska (member of the GGP

Questionnaire Task Force, Warsaw School of Economics, Poland), and Wiktoria Bąchorek (the Secretary of the Selection Committee, Warsaw School of Economics, Poland). The Selection Committee was given a mandate to organize and conduct the call.

Additionally, the Steering Committee provided further recommendations related to the call, including:

- the call should not suggest any priority topics, the modules should be entirely community-driven;
- the call should focus on modules for wave 2 only (as opposed to also considering at the same time modules for wave 3);
- the priority should be given to short modules, while modules should be a way of bringing innovative elements, the call should also welcome proposals that strengthen continuity with the past;
- the proposals should contain a strong motivation and at its core the longitudinal dimension of the GGS.

2. The first GGP call for survey questions

2.1. The procedure and evaluation criteria

The Selection Committee assumed its work in December 2022. In the meetings (held on-line), the assumptions of the call and the evaluation criteria were detailed. The committee also discussed and described the overall selection procedure and timetable. All these elements are described below. They were all made publicly available on the GGP webpage as the call was announced³. The call was also announced on Twitter and in the GGP Newsletter. It was publicized by the European

³ <https://www.ggp-i.org/call-for-survey-questions-for-the-follow-up-wave-2-questionnaire/>

Association for Population Studies (EAPS) and Population Europe. The content of the GGP webpage dedicated to the call can be found in Attachment 1. Attachment 2 includes examples of how the call was advertised.

Detailed assumptions of the call

It was agreed that the GGP should look for new, innovative, inspiring questions to be included into the GGS follow-up survey and fielded in all countries that conduct the second wave of the study.

The proposed survey module should be:

- in line with the thematic scope of the GGS, bringing depth or additional dimension to the questionnaire;
- fitting well with the longitudinal dimension of the GGS;
- scientifically excellent and well-motivated;
- self-contained and easy to incorporate into the questionnaire, fitting into the survey without changing the sequence or nature of any existing questions;
- short – even a single item can be suggested if it can generate innovative research.

In line with previous discussions, it was announced that 7-8 minutes of the survey time will be allocated to all new questions, to be filled with several shorter submissions from different applicants. There was no predefined number of proposals that would be accepted. The applicants were encouraged to submit proposals for a maximum of 2-3 minutes of questions.

Selection procedure

The template of the proposal was prepared (see Attachment 3) and the authors were asked to submit their proposals using the on-line form. All proposals were collected at the GGP Central Hub, verified against formal criteria and passed over to the Selection Committee. Next, the following steps were planned:

1. All submissions that meet formal criteria will be evaluated and scored by the GGP Consortium Board members based on three criteria:
 - a. Relevance and applicability to GGS;
 - b. Readiness and appropriateness of design;
 - c. Scientific excellence and novelty of the questions.
2. Any Consortium Board member will be entitled to evaluate the proposals as long as no conflict of interest occurs.
3. Based on the provided scoring, the proposals will be ranked and the Selection Committee will make the final decision on how many proposals can be included into the GGS.
4. The selected questions will be incorporated into the survey and tested together with the follow-up questionnaire.

Additionally, the following information was included into the procedure: "Please note that we ask for ready survey questions or modules. However, the Selection Committee reserves the right to contact the applicants and ask for small adjustments of their items if the proposal receives a high score, but some problems arise with implementation (e.g. during testing)"

Evaluation criteria

The following evaluation criteria were outlined:

1. Relevance and applicability to GGS. The proposed questions need to fit well alongside the core GGS questions, and they need to be easily incorporated into the questionnaire, given their subject and structure. The survey questions proposed must be suited to the thematic focus of the GGS and appropriate for the panel survey conducted by many countries in population-wide samples. It is crucial for the questions to deepen, expand or add to the themes of the core questionnaire and be relevant to the overall aims of the GGS. Moreover, the proposal should explain how the proposed questions fit with the second wave of the panel.

2. Readiness and appropriateness of design. The call is aimed at ready, well-formulated and fully developed survey questions. The questions should meet scientific and ethical standards. It is important for the questions to be feasible under current GDPR arrangements and suitable for the interview design (in particular, CAWI) conducted in diverse countries. The survey module should be self-contained and ready to be added to the questionnaire (i.e., not interfere with the overall structure of the follow-up questionnaire). Please indicate approximately where in wave 2 the questions should be included. While the proposed survey questions do not need to have been included in any major survey previously, we encourage applicants to cognitively test the questions in advance if possible. Please, include information on any prior use or testing of the questions in the proposal to better document their readiness.

3. Scientific excellence and novelty of the questions. The proposed survey questions must add a new dimension to the GGS knowledge base. The inclusion of the module should provide substantial or methodological novelty to the core survey. It is important for the proposed questions to attract broad academic interest in Europe and other countries conducting GGS and / or have a potential appeal to policymakers.

Additionally, a supplementary file was provided with a list of questions that the applicants are encouraged to consider when preparing their proposal. This list is included in Attachment 4.

2.2. The call and the response

The call was announced on the GGP website on February 15, 2023 and publicized using social media, newsletter (of GGP, EAPS, Population Europe). The applications were submitted through the GGP website until April 3rd. In response to the call, 28 proposals were submitted. Most of the proposals were authored by research teams rather than single researchers. However, even if just the first (corresponding) authors are considered, the proposals came from a variety of backgrounds. As many as nine proposals came from Italy (Bocconi University, University of Florence and University of Turin), five came from the Netherlands (NIDI, Radboud University), four from Germany (Max Planck, Humboldt University and Federal Institute for Population Research), three from France (Institut National d'Études Démographiques and the University of Lille), two from Sweden (Stockholm University) and individual submissions from Belgium (University of Antwerp), Switzerland (University of Geneva) and the UK (University of Southampton). Even though the majority of the proposals were received from the GGP-partnered institutions (16 proposals), a substantial number (12 proposals) came from outside of the GGP consortium. The proposals were submitted by the scholars at different stages of their academic career, importantly PhD Students were first Authors on four proposals. Finally, in terms of sex distribution, 12 proposals came from male scientists, while female scholars submitted 16 proposals.

The submitted proposals covered a wide variety of topics. Specifically, they covered questions related to:

- childbearing, reproductive health, and parenting (parenting attitudes and adoption);

- concerns and fears concerning the future;
- political behaviour;
- gender roles and attitudes;
- sexuality and sexual orientation;
- life-course development related to union formation and leaving parental home;
- intergenerational digital contacts and family networks;
- division of household duties.

The complete list of submissions (the name of the submitting Author and the title of each proposal) is included in Attachment 5.

All received proposals were viewed for meeting formal requirements and sent out to the GGP CB members with instructions for evaluating the proposals (Attachment 6) and the Reviewer's declaration (Attachment 7). All members of the GGP Consortium Boards were strongly encouraged to score all the proposals, where no conflict of interest occurred. The conflict of interest was defined as follows:

- the reviewer and the applicant work for the same institution;
- the reviewer and the applicant have had a joint research project or a publication in the past 10 years;
- the reviewer supervised the applicant's doctoral thesis or they were the reviewer's supervisor;
- the reviewer have personal relationship with the applicant;
- there are any other reasons for which the reviewer expected that the objective evaluation of the proposal might be compromised.

For each criterion, a GGP CB members were asked to assign a score of 0 to 10, based on the three predefined criteria:

- relevance and applicability to GGS;
- readiness and appropriateness of design;
- scientific excellence and novelty of questions.

2.3. The process of evaluation and selection

The Selection Committee received written evaluations of the received proposals from 14 partners. The number covers 11 full members of the GGP Consortium, two members with the observer status and a representative of a partner in the GGP-5D project (who was simultaneously a member of the Selection Committee). Given the conflict of interest, not all proposals were scored by all partners, however each proposal received from 9 to 14 evaluations. For each criterion, the mean score was calculated, as well as the total score for each proposal. The reviewers were also asked to provide brief comments for the assessed proposals in terms of main strong and weak points. The reviewers could also include additional remarks and comments at their will.

The Selection Committee reviewed the scoring and the comments provided. The content of the top 10 proposals was closely assessed in terms of their clarity, length and possible impact on the survey structure. At that stage, the top proposals, recommended for inclusion in the next wave of the GGS, were also consulted with the GGP Questionnaire Task Force and GGP Central hub. It has been decided that the top five proposals will be accepted for inclusion in the survey, with the expectation that their length should not exceed 7-8 minutes. Additionally, the sixth short proposal (consisting of three items) has been accepted as optional (the countries conducting the

second wave of the survey will be encouraged to include it, but they may choose to opt out).

The selected modules are:

- The Lived Experience of Singleness in the Life Course – by Dimitri Mortelmans and colleagues
- Single Module – by Deltlev Lück and colleagues

[the two above modules partly overlap and will be merged]

- Module on Global Uncertainties – by Gunnar Andersson and colleagues
- Intensive Parenting – by Sunnee Billingsley and colleagues
- Leaving and Returning to the Parental Home – by Ann Berrington and colleagues
- Sexual Orientation – by Lin Rouvroye and colleagues (optional module)

The list of accepted proposals, as published on the GGP webpage, in the GGP Newsletter and on social media, is provided in Attachment 8. The results were made public in the last week of June 2023. All applicants were informed via email about the outcome of their submissions prior to the public announcement of the results.

2.4. Implementation of the selected modules

With the selection procedure completed the Selection Committee engaged in collaboration with authors of the accepted proposals, the GGP Questionnaire Task Force and the GGP Central Hub with the following objectives:

- merging two largely overlapping proposals on experiences of singles;
- deciding on the exact location of the selected modules in the survey, including necessary revisions of filters and routings in the questionnaire;
- finetuning the wording of the questions when needed.

After the modules are implemented, they will be tested together with the whole questionnaire. Cognitive testing is planned for the international English version of the questionnaire. The participating countries will be encouraged to conduct some cognitive interviews to test the translated versions of the questions in their respective countries.

2.5. Timeline

It is important to recognize that the necessity for GGP to open up some space in the GGS questionnaire to allocate it to new, user-suggested questions and modules has been disputed in the GGP Consortium Board since 2019. Consequently, the Selection Committee, appointed to organize and conduct the GGP's first open call for survey questions could built—at least to some extent—on previous discussions. Nonetheless, it required a substantial amount of time to carefully define and codify all the assumptions and rules of conduct. Moreover, even though the rules were carefully defined, the process of evaluation, selection and implementation came with some unexpected challenges e.g., related to the necessity to combine two overlapping proposals. All these challenges are also reflected in the below timeline.

- 2022, October 24-25 – the GGP-5D kick off meeting, the initial assumptions for the call outlined, call to the GGP

Call for Modules and Related Procedures

Consortium Board members for volunteers to join the Selection Committee;

- 2022, November 11-17 – the GGP Steering Committee appoints the Selection Committee and provides some additional recommendations for the call;
- 2022, December – 2023, February – Selection Committee discusses and prepares the procedures, call documents are prepared;
- 2023, February 15 – the GGP’s open call for survey questions is announced (web page, social media, the GGP Newsletter, shortly after the information was disseminated using EAPS Newsletter and Population Europe social media);
- 2023, April 3 – deadline for submitting the proposals;
- 2023, April 12 – submitted and verified proposals are sent to the GGP CB members for evaluation;
- 2023, May 15 – all evaluations received and combined
- 2023, May – 2023, June – Selection Committee reviews the evaluations and comments from the GGP CB, consultations with the GGP Questionnaire Task Force and the GGP Central Hub on feasibility of implementing the top ranked proposals, final decision on the survey questions to be included;
- 2023, last week of June – Authors of all submissions notified about the outcomes, results made public in the GGP Newsletter and online;
- 2023, July – work related to implementation of the selected survey questions initiated in collaboration between the authors, the Selection Committee, the GGP Questionnaire Taskforce and the GGP Central Hub.

3. Conclusions

In the concluding section, a summary of the lessons learned from the first GGP's open call for survey questions is offered. This summary will encompass the successful aspects of the call but also the main challenges encountered and recommendations for future. Among the positives, the following key aspects should be noted:

- The call attracted a substantial attention and numerous excellent proposals were submitted. Even though only a few modules / questions could be accepted due to a limited space in the survey, the topics of the submitted proposals provide a valuable overview of the research interests of the GGP users across Europe.
- All procedures and evaluation criteria were made public, which increased the transparency of the process. The same approach should be implemented in next calls.
- It was possible to engage all members of the GGP Consortium in evaluating the received proposals, which clearly demonstrates the value placed by the GGP CB on innovations and their openness to hearing the voices of GGP users.

Overall, the implemented procedure worked quite efficiently, although there are always things worth improving. The following aspects should be considered for future calls:

- In the next GGP CB meeting, the CB members' experiences with evaluating the proposals should be discussed. Even though all members engaged in the process it is important to learn their opinions and ask for any ideas to improve the process in the future.

Call for Modules and Related Procedures

- More time should be allocated to the procedure and especially to the implementation of the selected survey questions. The applicants were asked to submit their questions in the “ready to go” format and the Selection Committee assumed that no additional work on the accepted questions would be needed. However, it turned out that additional work was needed. This needs to be accounted for in future calls.
- A few proposals suggested questions / items implementing methodological approaches different from the current GGS design (e.g., applying vignette approach). In future calls, the GGP CB should decide upfront whether it is feasible to implement such items and include such information in the call.

Overall, the call has provided practical insights into the volume and quality of the GGP user engagement in connection to constructing new GGS questions and/or modules for the wave 2 questionnaire. The lessons learned should be applied when implementing new calls for questions/modules in the future.

Attachment 1: Call announcement on the GGP webpage

Background

With the baseline survey (wave 1) well underway, the GGP is now getting ready for the follow-up survey (wave 2). The follow-up questionnaire has been recently revised and shortened, allowing space for new innovative survey questions to be introduced into the GGS (the baseline and the follow-up questionnaires can be found on-line)

[Download Baseline questionnaire](#)

[Download Follow-up questionnaire \(test-version\)](#)

Now we are launching a call for proposals of new modules or single survey questions to be incorporated into the forthcoming wave 2 of the GGS.

[Call for survey questions](#)

[Selection criteria questions](#)

[Application form](#)

What are we looking for?

We are looking for new, innovative, inspiring questions to be included into the GGS follow-up survey and fielded in all countries that conduct the second wave of the study.

The proposed survey module should be:

- In line with the thematic scope of the GGS, bringing depth or additional dimension to the questionnaire;
- Fitting well with the longitudinal dimension of the GGS;
- Scientifically excellent and well-motivated;
- Self-contained and easy to incorporate into the questionnaire, fitting into the survey without changing the sequence or nature of any existing questions;
- Short – even a single item can be suggested if it can generate innovative research.

We will allocate 7-8 minutes of the survey time to all new questions. We intend to fill this time-slot with several shorter submissions from different applicants, therefore we strongly encourage proposals to aim for a maximum of 2-3 minutes of questions. There are no predefined number of proposals that will be accepted.

Selection procedure

The proposals should be prepared in line with the template that is attached to this call and submitted (see below)

All submissions that meet formal criteria will be evaluated and scored by the GGP Consortium Board members based on three criteria:

- Relevance and applicability to GGS;
- Readiness and appropriateness of design;
- Scientific excellence and novelty of the questions.

The criteria are outlined in more detail below. Any Consortium Board member will be entitled to evaluate the proposals as long as no conflict of interest occurs. Based on the provided scoring, the proposals will be ranked and the selection committee will make the final decision on how many proposals can be included into the GGS. The selected questions will be incorporated into the survey and tested together with the follow-up questionnaire.

Please note that we ask for ready survey questions or modules. However, the selection committee reserves the right to contact the applicants and ask for small adjustments of their items if the proposal receives a high score, but some problems arise with implementation (e.g. during testing).

Evaluation criteria

1. Relevance and applicability to GGS

The proposed questions need to fit well alongside the core GGS questions, and they need to be easily incorporated into the questionnaire, given their subject and structure. The survey questions proposed must be suited to the thematic focus of the GGS and appropriate for the panel survey conducted by many countries in population-wide samples. It is crucial for the questions to deepen, expand or add to the themes of the core questionnaire and be relevant to the overall aims of the GGS. Moreover, the proposal should explain how the proposed questions fit with the second wave of the panel.

2. Readiness and appropriateness of design

The call is aimed at ready, well-formulated and fully developed survey questions. The questions should meet scientific and ethical standards. It is important for the questions to be feasible under current GDPR arrangements and suitable for the interview design (in particular, CAWI) conducted in diverse countries. The survey module should be self-contained and ready to be added to the questionnaire (i.e., not interfere with the overall structure of the follow-up questionnaire). Please indicate approximately where in wave 2 the questions should be included. While the proposed survey questions do not need to have been included in any major survey previously, we encourage applicants to cognitively test the questions in advance if possible. Please, include information on any prior use or testing of the questions in the proposal to better document their readiness.

3. Scientific excellence and novelty of the questions

The proposed survey questions must add a new dimension to the GGS knowledge base. The inclusion of the module should provide substantial or methodological novelty to the core survey. It is important for the proposed questions to attract broad academic interest in Europe and other countries conducting GGS and / or have a potential appeal to policymakers.

In a supplementary file, we provide a list of questions that the applicants are encouraged to consider when preparing their proposal.

[Download the selection criteria questions](#)

Timetable

- Please, submit your proposals using the attached form by April 3rd 2023
- The outcome of the call will be announced by mid-May 2023
- The proposed questions will be added to the GGS follow-up questionnaire and tested with it in May-July 2023

Selection committee

The selection committee appointed by the GGP Steering Committee consists of:

Brienna Perelli-Harris (chair), Letizia Mencarini, Martin Kreidl, Monika Mynarska and Wiktoria Bąchorek (assistant)

Submit here

Please fill in this application form and submit below

[Download application form](#)

Attachment 2: Information about the call

The call was announced in the GGP Newsletter No. 85 (February 2023)

Call for survey questions GGS-II (wave 2)




If you are interested in actively contributing to the Generations and Gender Survey (GGS), this is your chance - [submit now](#)

The Generations and Gender Programme (GGP) is calling for survey questions for the follow-up (wave 2) questionnaire. The GGP is looking for new, innovative, inspiring questions to be included into the GGS follow-up survey and fielded in all countries that conduct the second wave of the study.

More information on how to compose your proposal can be found [here](#). Proposals can be submitted until April 3rd, 2023.

It was also publicized using the GGP Twitter:



Attachment 3: Application form



Generations and Gender Survey
Call for survey questions for the follow-up (wave 2)
questionnaire
Application form

1. **Applicant** (names and affiliations of researchers involved in the proposal; please indicate a corresponding author with contact details)
2. **Proposed title of module**
3. **Abstract** (max 200 words)
4. **Proposed survey questions.** Please, provide your finalised survey questions below, including instructions, content, and answer categories. Indicate approximately where in the wave 2 GGS survey your questions should go, if any questions should be asked of a subcategory of respondents, and indicate information on necessary filters.
5. **Motivation and scientific foundation.** Please, provide the scientific motivation for including the proposed questions in the survey, their novelty and potential impact on academic research and policy making. Include information on previous use or testing of the questions. (max 1000 words, not including references, which should be included at the end of your application)

6. **Relevance to GGS wave 2 and all countries.** Please describe the applicability of the questions to GGS wave 2 specifically, given the provided core questionnaires. (max 500 words)

7. **References**

Attachment 4: Supplementary check list



Generations and Gender Survey
Call for survey questions for the follow-up (wave 2)
questionnaire
Supplementary material

All submissions that meet formal criteria will be evaluated by the GGP Consortium Board members based on the three criteria listed below. For each criterion, we provide a list of questions that the Applicants should reflect on when preparing their proposal.

1. Relevance and applicability to GGS

- Will the subject fit well alongside the core GGS questions?
- Is the topic already covered adequately by the core GGS questionnaire?
- To what extent is the proposed module a natural one for the GGS?
- Might it be better-suited to a different survey such as the DHS, ESS or SHARE?
- Is it appropriate for population-wide samples?
- Is it suited to a survey covering 15+ countries as diverse as the GGS countries?
- Is the proposal suited to the second wave of the longitudinal nature of the GGS rather than an ad hoc survey or a repeated cross-sectional design?
- Does the GGS have a strong comparative advantage over other possible vehicles?

2. Readiness and appropriateness of design

- Is the idea well-formulated and well-defined?

- Is the content of the module sufficiently developed and conceptually coherent?
- Have the questions been fielded in a previous survey or cognitively tested?
- Is it suited to the CAWI design?
- Is it feasible under current GDPR arrangements and ethics requirements?
- Does it call for more open-ended questions or filters than the GGS could comfortably accommodate?

3. Scientific excellence and novelty of the questions

- Will the inclusion of the module add something new – substantively or methodologically?
- Is it more than a replication of previous work?
- Is the module likely to attract broad academic or policy interest in Europe or globally?
- Will it add an important new dimension to the GGS knowledge base?

Attachment 5: Submitted proposals

| Corresponding Author | Title of the module |
|------------------------|---|
| Aassve, Arnstein | Family Ideal |
| Andersson, Gunnar | Module on Global Uncertainties |
| Arpino, Bruno | Intergenerational digital contact |
| Balbo, Nicoletta | Prenatal care, child disability, families and support networks |
| Beaujouan, Eva | First reproductive experiences |
| Begall, Katia | Expanding the concept of gender attitudes to better understand gender inequalities in contemporary Europe |
| Bellani, Daniela | Attitudinal orientation toward child's adoption |
| Berrington, Ann | Leaving and Returning to the Parental Home |
| Billingsley, Sunnee | Intensive parenting |
| Bouchet-Valat, Milan | Concern about climate change and family relationships |
| Buber-Ennser, Isabella | Experience of stress |
| Bujard, Martin | Gender Role Attitudes and Attitudes on Working Time across the Parental Life Course |
| Burciu, Roxana Diana | Gender inequality as unmet aspirations |
| Carriero, Renzo | The transmission of the paternal surname to children and social norms |

Call for Modules and Related Procedures

| | |
|------------------------|--|
| de Kleer, Dirck | Gender and Political Candidate Perceptions |
| Fasang, Anette | Demographic Change and Political Behavior |
| Guetto, Raffaele | Narratives of the Future and Fertility |
| Hornstra, Maaïke | Division of household labor: kinkeeping |
| Kalmijn, Matthijs | Improvements in the measurement of intermarriage |
| Koops, Judith | Unintended Births in Low Fertility Settings |
| Lück, Detlev | Single Module |
| Mortelmans, Dimitri | The lived experience of singleness in the life course |
| Nitsche, Natalie | Sexuality Module |
| Roman Amarales, Alonso | Cognitive Household Labor |
| Rossier, Clémentine | Agency within family networks: density and centrality |
| Rouvroye, Lin | Sexual Orientation |
| Solaz, Anne | Attitudes towards post-separation care arrangements for children |
| Zourli, Bettina | Quantify the desire to have kids or not |

Attachment 6: Evaluation instructions

Generations and Gender Survey: Call for survey questions for the follow-up (wave 2) questionnaire

GGP Call for survey items – instructions on the evaluation procedure

1. All applications are available (link)
2. Each member of the GGP Consortium can evaluate the proposals, but only one Reviewer per Institution is allowed. The Reviewer is asked to score the proposals in the attached Excel file (**one GGP consortium member = one evaluation form**).
3. Please, evaluate only the proposals **where you have no conflict of interest**. In the evaluation form, you are asked to explicitly declare whether you have a conflict of interest for each proposal. You should indicate conflict of interest if:
 - (a) you and the applicant work for the same institution;
 - (b) you and the applicant have had a joint research project or a publication in the past 10 years;
 - (c) you supervised the applicant's doctoral thesis or they were your supervisor;
 - (d) you have personal relationship with the applicant;
 - (e) there are any other reasons for which your objective evaluation of the proposal might be compromised.
4. Each proposal is evaluated on three criteria
 - (a) Relevance and applicability to GGS
 - (a) Readiness and appropriateness of design
 - (b) Scientific excellence and novelty of questions

The Evaluation criteria are explained in the call here: <https://www.ggp-i.org/call-for-survey-questions-for-the-follow-up-wave-2-questionnaire/>. All information are also send in the attachment for convenience.

Call for Modules and Related Procedures

Please, score each criterion on a scale from 0 to 10 (from very poor to excellent).

5. For each proposal you may also provide some comments. Of course, you are **not** obliged to do so, but your comments will be of great help to the Selection Committee – especially if you indicate any strong or weak points of the proposal. Feel free to be as brief as you wish in the comments.
6. Please, complete the form below and send it together with the Evaluation form (Excel file) no later than on **May 4, 2023** to the following e mail addresses:
Brienna Perelli-Harris (the Chair of the Selection Committee):
B.G.Perelli-Harris@soton.ac.uk
Wiktoria Bąchorek (the Secretary of the Selection Committee):
wbacho@sgh.waw.pl

Thank you in advance for your feedback!
The Selection Committee
(Brienna, Letizia, Martin, Monika, Wiktoria)

Attachment 7: Reviewer's declaration



Generations and Gender Survey: Call for survey questions for the follow-up (wave 2) questionnaire

Reviewer

| | |
|----------------------------------|--|
| Name and surname of the Reviewer | |
| Affiliation | |
| E mail address | |

Hereby, I declare that:

- I have carefully read the information on the evaluation procedure and criteria for the call;
- I have indicated whether I have a conflict of interest for each proposal and evaluated only the proposals where no conflict of interests occurs;
- I will not disclose the content of the proposals to third parties and I will not discuss the content of the proposals with other people without the consent of the Selection Committee and the Authors;
- I will not use, for my own benefit, information and ideas that I got acquainted with during the evaluation process.

/date and name/

Please, let us know if you have any additional comments related to the call (e.g., any comments related to the procedure, overall comments related to the proposals, or any doubts or concerns you could have had in the evaluation process)

Call for Modules and Related Procedures

| |
|--|
| |
|--|

Attachment 8: Results of the call

Modules selected for inclusion in the next wave of the GGS. Announcements on the GGP webpage (the same message was included in the GGP Newsletter No. 89, June 2023) and via Twitter.

Selected modules

Following up on the GGP's call for survey questions, we are thrilled to share its results. We were impressed by the number of captivating proposals. All submissions were meticulously reviewed along the selection criteria by the GGP Consortium Board and the Selection Committee. After careful consideration, the following proposals were accepted:

- **The lived experience of singleness in the life course** – by Dimitri Mortelmans and colleagues
- **Single Module** – by Dettlev Luck and colleagues
[above two modules will be merged]
- **Module on Global Uncertainties** – by Gunnar Andersson and colleagues
- **Intensive parenting** – by Sunnee Billingsley and colleagues
- **Leaving and Returning to the Parental Home** – by Ann Berrington and colleagues
- **Sexual orientation** – by Lin Rouvroye and colleagues (optional module)

We would like to express our gratitude to all colleagues who submitted their proposals for their outstanding work and involvement in GGP. Heartfelt congratulations are extended to the authors of the selected proposals for the second wave of the Generations and Gender Survey. Your valuable contributions will undoubtedly provide unique insights into the complexities of family dynamics, gender, and intergenerational relations!

Call for Modules and Related Procedures

GGP @GGPJ · 6 lip

🎉 Congratulations to:

- 👤 @Mortelmans_Dim et al. Singleness experience
- 👤 Lück et al. Single module
- 👤 @GunnarSUDA et al. Global uncertainties
- 👤 Billingsley et al. Intensive parenting
- 👤 @AnnBerrington et al. Leaving & returning to parental home
- 👤 @LRouvroyeet et al. Sexual orientation

GGP @GGPJ · 10 mar


📣 Call for survey questions or modules for the #GGSII follow-up (wave 2) questionnaire. More information 📄

🔗 bit.ly/2ISZ38i

📅 Deadline: April 3rd

GGP 1500 Tweetów

Menu ▾ Obserwujesz



Background

What are we looking for?

🗨 1 🔄 12 ❤️ 25 📊 3 465 📎